

Idaho State Department of Agriculture P.O. Box 7249 • Boise, Idaho 83707 P: 208.332.8500 • F: 208.334.2170 www.agri.idaho.gov

BRAD LITTLE, GOVERNOR

**CELIA GOULD**, DIRECTOR

- "(8) The requirements of this section shall apply to the director's promulgation of new rules as well as the amendment, extension, or renewal of rules in effect on the effective date of this act."
- 1. Is this a new rule or  $\checkmark$  amendment to current rule?
- Is the proposed rule broader in scope or more stringent than federal law or regulations, or does it propose to regulate an activity not regulated by the federal government? Yes No
  - a. If yes, which portions of the proposed rule?

IDAPA 02.04.17 "Rules Governing Dead Animal Movement and Dispoal" regulates an activity that is not regulated by the federal government, therefore the entire rule is broader in scope or more stringent than federal law.

- 3. Is the proposed rule pursuant to:
  - a. Title 22, Chapter 49 (Beef Cattle Environmental Control Act)? Yes No
    b. Title 25, Chapter 38 (Ag Odor Management Act)? Yes No
    c. Title 37, Chapter 4 (Sanitary Inspection of Dairy Products) Yes No
    d. Title 37, Chapter 6 (Dairy Environmental Control Act) Yes No
  - e. If yes to any of the above:
    - i. List the peer-reviewed science and supporting studies (conducted in accordance with sound and objective scientific practices) utilized by the agency.

ii. List the data that the agency utilized including site-specific, local, statewide, and regional data, including economic information.

iii. Explain how the rules are consistent with applicable legislative findings, policy, and intent; (for example, provide legislative bills or intent language).

iv. Has the agency made available for public review and comment, all scientific studies, (listed in subsection i. above) including underlying methodology, that have been relied upon by the director?

v. Have interested parties submitted economic feasibility data? Yes No (Please attach data when submitting this document.)

Does the proposed rule propose a standard necessary to protect human health and the environment?
 Yes No If yes, Please complete subsections a-e. If no, please proceed to question 4.

a. Identify each population or receptor addressed by an estimate of public health effects or environmental effects.

b. Identify the expected risk or central estimate of risk for the specific population or receptor.

c. Identify each appropriate upper bound or lower bound estimate of risk.

d. Identify each significant uncertainty identified in the process of the assessment of public health effects or environmental effects and any studies that would assist in resolving the uncertainty.

e. Identify studies known to the agency that support, are directly relevant to, or fail to support any estimate of public health effects or environmental effects and the methodology used to reconcile inconsistencies in the data.

 Does the notice for the proposed rule include information that the rule is boarder in scope or more stringent than federal law or regulations, or does it propose to regulate an activity not regulated by the federal government? Yes No

Information Compiled by:

 Title:
 \_\_\_\_\_\_

 Date:
 \_\_\_\_\_\_